Original Article

Our experience with pectoralis major flap for management of sternal dehiscence: A review of 25 cases

Parag Sahasrabudhe, Ranjeet Jagtap¹, Pankaj Waykole², Nikhil Panse³, Pallavi Bhargava⁴, Sampada Patwardhan⁵

Department of Plastic and Cosmetic Surgery, Deenanath Mangeshkar Hospital, B. J. Medical College and Sassoon Hospitals, ¹Consultant Cardiothoracic Surgeon, Department of Cardiac surgery, ^{2,3}Department of Plastic Surgery, B.J Medical College, Pune, ⁴Infectious Diseases Consultant, Department of Medicine, ⁵Consultant Microbiologist, Department of Pathology, Deenanath Mangeshkar Hospital, India

Address for correspondence: Dr. Parag Sahasrabudhe, Plot 82 Lane 2, Natraj Society, Karvenagar, Pune - 411 052, India. E-mail: drparags@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Objective: To report our experience of the pectoralis major flap as the treatment modality for post coronary artery bypass sternal wound dehiscence. **Materials and Methods:** A retrospective study of 25 open heart surgery cases, performed between January 2006 and December 2010 at Deenanath Mangeshkar Hospital, Pune, was carried out. Unilateral or bilateral pectoralis major muscle flap by the double breasting technique using rectus extension was used in the management of these patients. The outcome was assessed on the basis of efficacy of flap surgery in achieving wound healing and post-surgery shoulder joint movements to evaluate donor site morbidity. The follow-up ranged from 5 months to 3.5 years. **Results:** Twenty-three (92%) patients were discharged with complete wound closure. One patient (4%) had wound dehiscence after flap surgery. One patient (4%) died in the hospital in the immediate postoperative period due to mediastinitis. No recurrent sternum infection has occurred till date in 24 patients (96%). For one patient (4%) who had wound dehiscence, daily dressing was done and wound healing was achieved with secondary intension. At follow-up, shoulder joint movements were normal in all the patients. **Conclusions:** The double breasting technique of the pectoralis major muscle flaps with rectus sheath extension is efficient in covering the entire length of the defect and can reduce the morbidity, without affecting the function of the shoulder joint.

KEY WORDS

Bilateral pectoralis major flaps; left internal mammary artery and right internal mammary artery; sternal dehiscence

INTRODUCTION

In 1957, the introduction of the median sternotomy

| Access this article online | | |
|----------------------------|--|--|
| Quick Response Code: | Website: www.ijps.org | |
| | DOI: 10.4103/0970-0358.90810 | |

to allow access to intrathoracic organs by Julian revolutionised the field of cardiothoracic surgery.^[1] Since this landmark introduction, sternal wound infection and dehiscence have been reported to occur in $0.2-10\%^{[2]}$ and mortality rates in such cases range from 05 to 20%.^[2]

The risk factors for sternal dehiscence post median sternotomy include diabetes,^[3] prolonged surgery time,^[4] prolonged postoperative ventilation,^[5] previous surgery,^[6] postoperative dialysis,^[6] postoperative

Indian Journal of Plastic Surgery September-December 2011 Vol 44 Issue 3

haemorrhage,^[6] smoking, low cardiac output stage, use of either left internal mammary artery (LIMA) or right internal mammary artery (RIMA) or both^[7] and obesity.^[8]

Treatment options for sternal dehiscence include multiple debridements, resuturing/rewiring in cases which present early, vacuum-assisted closure (VAC) devices, flap cover with muscle flaps like pectoralis major, rectus abdominis, latissimus dorsi and omentum.

The possible and most devastating complication that can occur in the patients of sternal dehiscence is mediastinitis. The incidence is 47%. The mortality in such cases is as high as 50%.^[9-12]

Pectoralis major muscle flaps are used commonly in combination with rectus abdominis muscle or omentum to manage such cases. We modified this procedure by isolating pectoralis on one side, taking a rectus sheath extension on one side and double breasting both across midline. Isolating the muscle on thoracoacromial pedicle helps in extending its reach across midline and inferiorly. The rectus sheath extension helps to fill lower end of the defect.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This is a retrospective review of 25 (n=25) consecutive patients of sternal dehiscence managed by unilateral pectoralis muscle flap with omentum or bilateral pectoralis major flaps. Hospital records were reviewed for demographic pattern, time of presentation, symptoms on presentation, flap technique, postoperative success, hospital stay, mortality, morbidity, and bacteriology of the wounds. The patients operated from January 2006 to December 2010 were analysed. The follow-up ranged from 5 months to 3.5 years. The average age was 62.8 years. Male: female ratio was 20:5. Twenty-one patients were diabetics (84%).

Preoperative preparation

The laboratory studies done were as follows:

- Fluid collections for culture and analysis.
- Deep wound swabs,Sternal bone biopsies for aerobic,bacterial and fungal cultures.
- Complete blood count (CBC), blood cultures, C-reactive protein levels.

The imaging studies done were as follows:

- Plain X-ray chest (PA view).
- Computed tomography (CT) scan thorax for accurate detection of sternal disruption and/or destruction,

pockets of fluid, abscess, or pleural effusions, widening of mediastinum, pre or retrosternal air shadows. All these signs indicate mediastinitis.

Under general anaesthesia, with good muscle relaxation, the surgical procedures were carried out.

Surgical technique

The non-viable, necrotic bone and costal cartilages are debrided [Figures 1-2] and sternal wires removed. The margins of the sternum are debrided to reach healthy bone that bleeds.

At the end of thorough debridement, a VAC device is applied. The VAC system used is prepared from sterile foam, suction drain and ioban dressing (iodine incorporated sterile transparent adhesive dressing). Suction drain tube is connected to a gauge mounted wall suction at a pressure of 100 mm Hg. The VAC dressing is changed frequently depending upon the amount of discharge from the wound. Once a healthy granulating bed is achieved, the final flap closure is scheduled.

The sternal origin of pectoralis major is identified on either side of the tissue defect. It is released along the entire length of the body of sternum on both sides. Blunt dissection and diathermy are used to separate pectoralis muscle from skin, subcutaneous tissue and underlying pectoralis minor [Figure 3]. On both sides, the muscle flap is released inferiorly by dividing its attachments to the lower margin of the chest wall and the interdigitating fibres of external oblique abdominis muscle.

The fascia over the rectus may be harvested as extension of the pectoralis flap. This helps in filling the cavity in lower end of the defect, avoiding the need for separate flap like omentum or rectus abdominis muscle [Figure 9]. The superolateral limit of dissection of the flap is the anterior axillary fold. If the flap reaches comfortably across midline, the tendon of the pectoralis major is not divided from its humeral attachment. If more reach is needed, the humeral attachment of the pectoralis major is divided by taking a separate incision in axillary crease [Figure 4], and thus the pectoralis muscle is isolated on the thoracoacromial artery. Haemostasis is achieved at this stage.

The pectoralis major muscle flaps thus raised are swung acrossmedially over the sternal defect and double breasted [Figures 5]. The dead space is thereby obliterated, and



Figure 1: Pre-debridement defect



Figure 2: Post-debridement defect



Figure 3: Isolated pectoralis major muscle flap



Figure 4: Mobilised bilateral pectoralis muscles with release of humeral head of the left side



Figure 5: Double breasting of pectoralis major muscle flaps



Figure 6: Post operative status

it also gives some amount of sternal stability. Multiple suction drains are placed both superficial and deep to the muscle flaps. The subcutaneous tissue and skin is closed [Figures 6,7 and 8].

Postoperative care

The suction drains are left *in situ* for at least 5 days, and patients receive antibiotic cover as per culture and sensitivity. If bone cultures are positive, then antibiotics



Figure 7: Preoperatve photograph case 2



Figure 8: Postop photograph case 2



Figure 9: Mobilised pectoralis muscle with rectus sheath extension

are given for a minimum period of 6 weeks or till the C-reactive protein levels are within normal range. It is important that haematomas are avoided. Patients are advised against lifting heavy objects and contact sports for at least 3 months. Physiotherapy is gradually initiated.

The mean operative time for flap surgery was 2 hours with single surgeon and two assistants. The average postoperative stay was of 12 days.

RESULTS

This study assessed the outcome of our experience with bilateral pectoralis major flaps with double breasting technique for the management of sternal dehiscence. Twenty-five patients with sternal dehiscence, eligible and operated for pectoralis major flaps, were studied in immediate and late postoperative period for a maximum follow-up period of 3.5 years. The details of the patients are given in Table 1.

The outcome was studied in the form of preoperative diabetic status, preoperative culture and sensitivity, intraoperative use of LIMA and/or RIMA, and postoperative range of movements at shoulder joints.

Twenty-three (92%) patients were discharged with complete wound closure. One patient (4%) had wound dehiscence after flap surgery. Out of 13 patients (52%) who had preoperative mediastinitis as per CT scan and wound culture reports, only one patient (4%) died in the hospital in the immediate postoperative period. No recurrent sternum infection has occurred till date in 24 patients (96%). For one patient (4%) who had wound dehiscence following flap surgery, daily dressings were done and wound healing was achieved with secondary intension. At follow-up, shoulder joint movements were normal in all the patients.

In our study of 25 cases, we have used bilateral pectoralis flaps in 23 patients. Out of these, rectus extension was used in 19 patients [Figure 9]. In these cases, need for separate flap for lower part of the defect was avoided. In two cases where lower end of the defect was very wide and deep, we have used unilateral pectoralis flap and omentum.^[13]

We observed that the most commonly involved microorganism in these patients was coagulase-negative *Staphylococi* (16%), followed by *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Candida albicans* (12%). Methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* and multiple organisms were isolated in 8% cases. Atypical mycobacteria were not found in any of these cases [Table 2]. Blood cultures were positive only in four cases which

included the patient with mediastinitis who died in the postoperative period.

No organisms were found in seven cases (28%), suggesting sterile wound dehiscence possibly due to devascularisation of sternum secondary to use of both mammary vessels to revascularise myocardium.

In this study, we observed the average time of coronary artery bypass graft (CABG) and time of presentation to be 79 days as the patients were referred late to plastic surgery unit. The average duration between time of presentation and pectoralis major flap with double breasting technique was 11 days. We have used VAC therapy as an intermediate measure between debridement and definitive flap cover to keep the wound sealed and prevent paradoxical movement of sternum. We have applied VAC to 20 of our patients, with an average time period of 11 days. The range was 3–33 days. The average time of hospitalisation after flap surgery was 11 days.

On follow-up, the patients were performing the activities of daily living comfortably using the hand from where pectoralis was isolated. There were no significant disabilities and the patients were satisfied with the outcome.

DISCUSSION

The development of life-threatening sternal wound infections after sternotomy for CABGs, valve replacement, heart transplantation, and other thoracic procedures has been a major concern for surgeons since the development of the median sternotomy approach over 40 years ago. These infections must be treated quickly and appropriately to avoid the development or progression of sternal osteomyelitis, mediastinitis, and systemic sepsis.

The principles of reconstruction include radical debridement and early tension free flap cover with vascular tissue. The dead space should be totally obliterated.

Over the past four decades, the treatment of sternal wound infections has evolved considerably.^[14-20] Debridement and immediate closure with muscle or myocutaneous flap has gained popularity since 1980s.^[21-27]

The very fact that there are various options described for the management of sternal dehiscence makes it obvious that the ideal option still eludes us. A combination of flaps may be sometimes required, particularly to deal with difficult areas such as the inferior third of the sternum.^[28,29] Use of omentum involves laparotomy and opening of new cavity adjacent to area of infection.

Pectoralis muscle can be used as a turnover flap based on the secondary pedicles, isolated flap on thoracoacromial pedicle or as myocutaneous advancement flap.^[22,30] It is presently the favoured muscle flap for covering infected sternotomy wounds.^[31,32]

A major limitation of the pectoralis major flap has been coverage of the inferior third of the sternotomy wound.^[33,34] Studies have shown that the lower portion of wounds, near the xiphisternum, is the most common site of dehiscence after flap repair.^[35] Tripedicle pectoralis major myocutaneous flap has been used by some authors to address this problem.^[36]

Unlike the transposition or rotation technique of muscle flaps,^[37] the technique of advancement of the pectoralis major bilaterally is efficient in obliterating dead space and covering the entire defect with tension-free vascular tissue. There are several classifications of sternal infection; we prefer the classification reported by Jones.^[38]

In our experience, early diagnosis and intervention contributes significantly to reduce the extent of tissue necrosis. This opinion is shared by other authors.^[39,40] In the last 10 years, use of the pectoralis major muscle has contributed to decrease in the 30-day operative mortality rate of about 7.9–9.5% and zero 30-day hospital mortality as reported by some authors.^[41] The duration of hospital stay and mortality are more when patients are referred late to plastic surgery units.^[39]

In our series, in 23 out of 25 cases, both left and right mammary vessels were used, so rectus abdominis or turnover pectoralis major flap was not an option. We have used omentum in two of our cases to fill the cavity in lower half of the wound.^[13] In most of the cases, we have found that rectus sheath extension of pectoralis muscle suffices to fill lower end dead space. Thus, this avoids the need for other flap for lower part of the wound. We chose to cover the defect with the bilateral pectoralis muscle flaps, one of which is isolated on the thoracoacromial pedicle. Isolation of muscle increases its reach across the midline and inferiorly. The technique of double breasting used by us in all our cases has the following advantages:

| | | | | | Table 1. Cill | lical uetalis | of the patients | | |
|-----|-----|----|-----------------|-------------------------|---|--------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|---|
| Age | Sex | DM | Date of CABG | Date of presentation | Time bet CABG and presentation (in days) | Type of dehisce | Pus c/s | Date of flap sx | Time bet presentaion and flap sx (in days) |
| 65 | Μ | Υ | 1/1/2005 | 8/5/2005 | 159 | 2B | COAG –ve staph. | 13/05/2005 | 5 |
| 61 | Μ | Υ | 8/4/2005 | 28/05/2005 | 50 | 3A | MRSA | 13/06/2005 | 16 |
| 66 | Μ | Υ | 22/04/2005 | 7/5/2005 | 15 | ЗA | Enteobact.+ COAGve st. | 13/06/2005 | 37 |
| 62 | Μ | Υ | 19/12/2005 | 25/01/2006 | 37 | ЗA | E coli+psudomonas aerugino | 27/01/2006 | 2 |
| 35 | Μ | Ν | 1/9/2006 | 15/03/2007 | 227 | 2B | No growth | 23/03/2007 | 8 |
| 69 | Μ | Υ | 9/7/2007 | 24/03/2008 | 259 | 3B | Candia albicans | 25/03/2008 | 1 |
| 58 | F | Υ | 8/12/2007 | 20/03/2008 | 102 | 2B | Staph. aureus | 23/03/2008 | 3 |
| 66 | Μ | Ν | 2/4/2008 | 22/04/2008 | 20 | 2B | No growth | 22/04/2008 | 0 |
| 63 | М | Υ | 29/05/2008 | 21/07/2008 | 69 | 2B | No growth | 21/07/2008 | 0 |
| 66 | М | Υ | 4/12/2008 | 4/1/2008 | 30 | ЗA | E coli | 29/12/2008 | 25 |
| 56 | М | Υ | 17/02/2009 | 28/03/2009 | 39 | 3B | No growth | 28/03/2009 | 0 |
| 63 | F | Υ | 13/03/2009 | 22/04/2009 | 40 | 2B | No growth | 23/04/2009 | 1 |
| 60 | F | Υ | 10/7/2009 | 6/5/2010 | 243 | 2B | MRSA | 17/05/2010 | 17 |
| 68 | F | Υ | 30/07/2009 | 8/8/2009 | 9 | 3B | Psudomonas aeruginosa | 18/08/2009 | 10 |
| 61 | F | Υ | 1/8/2009 | 26/08/2009 | 25 | 2A | Enterococcus feacalis | 29/08/2009 | 3 |
| 68 | Μ | Ν | 25/08/2009 | 28/09/2009 | 34 | 2B | Klebsiela pneumoniae | 7/10/2009 | 11 |
| 62 | М | Υ | 25/08/2009 | 7/10/2009 | 45 | 2B | No growth | 16/10/2009 | 9 |
| 71 | Μ | Υ | 26/12/2009 | 18/01/2010 | 23 | 2B | Candida albicans | 4/2/2010 | 18 |
| 67 | Μ | Υ | 18/05/2010 | 24/08/2010 | 98 | 2B | No growth | 25/09/2010 | 31 |
| 68 | М | Ν | 29/05/2010 | 30/07/2010 | 62 | 3A | COAG –ve staph. | 5/7/2010 | 35 |
| 56 | М | Υ | 29/06/2010 | 17/10/2010 | 110 | 3A | Candida albicans | 23/10/2010 | 6 |
| 76 | М | Υ | 15/07/2010 | 17/08/2010 | 33 | 3A | COAG –ve staph. | 2/9/2010 | 16 |
| 65 | М | Υ | 3/8/2010 | 21/09/2010 | 49 | 2B | COAG –ve staph. | 29/09/2010 | 9 |
| 53 | М | Υ | 3/8/2010 | 22/12/2010 | 141 | 2B | Klebsiela pneumoniae | 3/1/2011 | 12 |
| 65 | М | Υ | 24/08/2010 | 29/11/2010 | 66 | 3B | Klebsiela pneumoniae | 18/12/2010 | 21 |

Table 1: Clinical details of the patients

CABG: coronary artery bypass graft, MRSA: Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus aureus

- 1. The double breasting gives some stability to the sternum and prevents paradoxical movements of the sternum.
- 2. It obliterates the cavity and helps in prevention of haematoma/seroma.
- 3. By using the double breasting technique, overlapping suture lines are avoided. Even if there is dehiscence of one suture line, the other suture line acts as a protective barrier and prevents complete disruption.

In addition to other advantages, this procedure can be used in patients who underwent CABG using both internal thoracic arteries.^[40,41] In most of the patients, both the mammary arteries were used for revascularisation. The debridement of sternum was significant in these cases. We have not done rewiring in any of our cases as it was not feasible. Double breasting took care of the skeletal stability satisfactorily.

The use of mediastinal drainage under high vacuum pressure with polyurethane foam have been promising in

the treatment of primary sternal infection after median sternotomy.^[42] This procedure was considered effective according to a multicenter study.^[43] However, this procedure can only be used in patients with intact pleura and it is not free from complications such as pleural rupture and fall of cardiac output.^[44] In our study, VAC drain was useful to narrow the gap between debridement and flap surgery.

Risk factors for sternal dehiscence in our series were use of internal mammary arteries, diabetes and infection. In 7 (28%) patients, it was the problem of nonviability of sternum as the cultures were negative in these patients. Infection was mainly due to gram-negative pathogens as compared to the usual *S. aureus*.

CONCLUSIONS

In the sternal wound infection involving deep planes or with post-sternotomy dehiscence, we suggest radical

Table 1 (contd...)

Table 1 (contd...)

| Age | Type of flap | LIMA AND RIMA used | Date of discharge | Time b/w flap surg to discharge (in days) | Outcome | Shoulder jt. Movements |
|-----|------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|--|-----------|---------------------------|
| 65 | Bilat. Pect. Major | Y +AVR DONE | 23/05/2005 | 10 | Good | Normal |
| 61 | Bilat. Pect. Major | Ν | 27/06/2005 | 14 | Good | Normal |
| 66 | Bilat. Pect. Major with rect | Υ | 16/06/2005 | 3 | Good | Normal |
| 62 | Bilat. Pect. Major with rect | Y | 15/03/2006 | 48 | Good | Normal |
| 35 | Bilat. Pect. Major with rect | Y | 26/03/2007 | 3 | Good | Normal |
| 69 | Bilat. Pect. Major with rect | Y | 31/03/2008 | 6 | Good | Normal |
| 58 | Bilat. Pect. Major with rect | Y | 2/4/2008 | 10 | Good | Normal |
| 66 | Bilat. Pect. Major with rect | Y | 26/04/2008 | 4 | Good | Normal |
| 63 | Bilat. Pect. Major with rect | Y | 25/07/2008 | 4 | Good | Normal |
| 66 | Unilat. Pect. Major+oment | Y | 19/01/2008 | 22 | Good | Normal |
| 56 | Unilat. Pect. Major+oment | Y | 5/5/2009 | 38 | Good | Normal |
| 63 | Bilat. Pect. Major with rect | Y | 5/5/2009 | 12 | Good | Normal |
| 60 | Bilat. Pect. Major with rect | Y | 22/05/2010 | 5 | Good | Normal |
| 68 | Bilat. Pect. Major with rect | Y | Death | - | Poor | - |
| 61 | Bilat. Pect. Major | Y | 4/9/2009 | 6 | Good | Normal |
| 68 | Bilat. Pect. Major with rect | Y | 20/10/2009 | 13 | Good | Normal |
| 62 | Bilat. Pect. Major with rect | Y | 24/10/2009 | 8 | Good | Normal |
| 71 | Bilat. Pect. Major with rect | Y | 11/2/2010 | 7 | Good | Normal |
| 67 | Bilat. Pect. Major with rect | Y | 2/10/2010 | 8 | Good | Normal |
| 68 | Bilat. Pect. Major with rect | Y | 14/ <mark>07/2010</mark> | 9 | Good | Normal |
| 56 | Bilat. Pect. Major with rect | Y | 30/10/2010 | 7 | Good | Normal |
| 76 | Bilat. Pect. Major with rect | Y | 2 <mark>4/09/2010</mark> | 22 | Good | Normal |
| 65 | Bilat. Pect. Major with rect | Y | 1 <mark>3/10/2010</mark> | 15 | Good | Normal |
| 53 | Bilat. Pect. Major | N | 17/01/2011 | 14 | Good | Normal |
| 65 | Bilat. Pect. Major with rect | Y | 21/12/2010 | 3 | Wd dehisc | Normal |
| | | | | | | |

| Table 2: Percentage of microorganisms found in cultu | re |
|--|----|
| and sensitivity | |

| Microorganism | No. of patients | Percentage | | | | |
|------------------------|-----------------|------------|--|--|--|--|
| Coagulase negative | 4 | 16 | | | | |
| Stahphylococci | | | | | | |
| Klebsiella pneumoniae | 3 | 12 | | | | |
| Candida albicans | 3 | 12 | | | | |
| Methicillin-Resistant | 2 | 8 | | | | |
| Staphylococcus aureus | | | | | | |
| Escherichia coli | 1 | 4 | | | | |
| Pseudomonas aeruginosa | 1 | 4 | | | | |
| Stahphylococci aureus | 1 | 4 | | | | |
| Enterococcus faecalis | 1 | 4 | | | | |
| Multiple organisms | 2 | 8 | | | | |
| No organisms | 7 | 28 | | | | |

debridement of non-viable tissues, especially when both mammary arteries are used as in our series. It should be followed by early flap cover. We recommend using bilateral pectoralis major flaps with rectus extension on one side and double breasting them across the midline. The rectus sheath extension helps to fill lower end of the defect, eliminating the need for omentum in most of the cases. Releasing the pectoralis muscle from its insertion and isolating it on thoracoacromial pedicle helps in increasing its reach both across the midline and inferiorly. In our series, the option of using rectus muscle was not available as in majority of the cases both the mammary arteries were used during bypass surgery. This technique is efficient in obliterating the dead space with vascular tissue, and it fulfills most of the criteria of ideal flap and avoids the need for second flap for lower part of the wound. It reduces the morbidity and mortality and assists in early recovery.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Our sincere thanks to the Dr. Nilesh Juvekar and Dr. Vinayak Desurkar (Department of Cardiac Anaesthesia) and Intensive care unit, Deenanath Mangeshkar Hospital for their continuing support.

REFERENCES

 Julian OC, Lopez-Belio M, Dye WS, Javid H, Grove WJ. The median sternal incision in intracardiac surgery with extracorporeal circulation: A general evaluation of its use in heart surgery. Surgery 1957;42:753-61.

- Landes G, Harris PG, Sampalis JS, Brutus JP, Cordoba C, Ciaburro H, *et al.* Outcomes in the management of sternal dehiscence by plastic surgery: A ten-year review in one university center. Ann Plast Surg 2007;59:659-66.
- Jungheim C, Isgro F, Werling C, Sloot N, Saggau W. Analysis of infections after cardiac surgery. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2000;48(Suppl 1):50.
- Engelmann RM, Williams CD, Gouge TH. Mediastinits following open-heart surgery: Review of two years experience. Arch Surg 1973;107:772-6.
- Newman LS, Szezukowski LC, Bain RP, Perlino CA. Suppurative mediastinitis after open heart surgery. A case control study of risk factors. Chest 1988;94:546-53.
- Belcher P, McLean N, Breach N, Paneth M. Omental transfer in acute and chronic sternotomy wound breakdown. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 1990;38:186-91.
- Kouchoukos NT, Wareing TH, Murphy SF, Pelate C, Marshall WG. Risks of bilateral internal mammary artery bypass grafting. Ann Thorac Surg 1990;49:210-5.
- Wilson AP, Livesey SA, Treasure T, Gruneberg RN, Sturridge MF. Factors predisposing to wound infection in cardiac surgery. A prospective study of 517 patients. Eur J Cardiothorc Surg 1987;1:158-63.
- Malani P, Dyke D, Pagani F, Armstrong W, Chenoweth C. Successful treatment of vancomycin resistant enterococcus faecium mediastinitis associated with left ventricular assist device. Ann Thorac Surg 2003;76:1719-21.
- de Feo M, de Santo L, Romano G, Renzulli A, della Corte A, Utili R. Treatment of recurrent staphylococcal mediastinitis: Still a controversial issue. Ann Thorac Surg. 2003;75:538-42.
- Kirsch M, Mekontso-Dessap A, Houel R, Giroud E, Hillion M, Loisance D. Closed drainage using Redon catheters for poststernotomy mediastinitis: Results and risk factors for adverse outcome. Ann Thorac Surg 2001;71:1580-6.
- Ridderstolpe L, Gill H, Ahlfeldt H, Rutberg H. Superficial and deep sternal wound complications: Incidence, risk factors and mortality. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2001;20:1168-75.
- Lee CH, Hsien JH, Tang YB, Chen HC. Reconstruction of sternal osteomyelitis at the lower third of sternum. J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg 2010;63:633-41.
- Thurer RJ, Bognolo D, Vargas A. The management of mediastinal infection following cardiac surgery: An experience utilizing continuous irrigation with povidone-iodine. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 1974;68:962-8.
- Prevosti LG, Subramainian VA, Rothaus KO. A comparison of the open and closed methods in the initial treatment of sternal wound infections. J Cardiovasc Surg 1989;30:757-63.
- Jimenez-Martinez M, Arguero-Sanchez R, Perez-Alvarez JJ. Anterior mediastinitis as a complication of median sternotomy incisions: Diagnostic and surgical considerations. Surgery 1970;67:929-34.
- 17. Shumacker HB Jr, Mandelbaum I. Continuous antibiotic irrigation in the treatment of infection. Arch Surg 1963;86:384-7.
- Lee AB Jr, Schimert G, Shatkin S. Total excision of the sternum and thoracic pedicle transposition of the greater omentum: Useful stratagems in managing severe mediastinal infection following open-heart surgery. Surgery 1976;80:433-6.
- Pearl SN, Weiner MA, Dibbell DG. Sternal infection after cardiac transplantation: Successful salvage utilizing a variety of techniques. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 1982;83:632-4.
- Herrera HR, Ginsburg ME. The pectoralis major myocutaneous flap and omental transposition for closure of infected median sternotomy wounds. Plast Reconstr Surg 1982;70:465-70.
- 21. Jurkiewicz MJ, Bostwick J 3rd, Hester TR. Infected median

sternotomy wound: Successful treatment by muscle flaps. Ann Surg 1980;191:738-44.

- Hugo NE, Sultan MR, Ascherman JA. Single-stage management of 74 consecutive sternal wound complications with pectoralis major myocutaneous advancement flaps. Plast Reconstr Surg 1994;93:1433-41.
- Ascherman JA, Hugo NE, Sultan MR. Single-stage treatment of sternal wound complications in heart transplant recipients in whom pectoralis major myocutaneous advancement flaps were used. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 1995;110:1030-6.
- Ascherman JA, Patel SM, Malhotra SM. Management of sternal wounds with bilateral pectoralis major myocutaneous advancement flaps in 114 consecutively treated patients: Refinements in technique and outcomes analysis. Plast Reconstr Surg 2004;114:676-83.
- 25. Nahai F, Morales L Jr, Bone DK. Pectoralis major muscle turnover flaps for closure of the infected sternotomy wound with preservation of form and function. Plast Reconstr Surg 1982;70:471-4.
- Jones G, Jurkiewicz MJ, Bostwick J. Management of the infected median sternotomy wound with muscle flaps. The Emory 20-year experience. Ann Surg 1997;225:766-76.
- 27. Nahai F, Rand RP, Hester TR. Primary treatment of the infected sternotomy wound with muscle flaps: A review of 211 consecutive cases. Plast Reconstr Surg 1989;84:434-41.
- Seguin JR, Loisance DY. Omental transposition for closure of median sternotomy following severe mediastinal and vascular infection. Chest 1985;88:684-90.
- 29. Loose R, Birekandt S, Mo⁻Iler F. Deep sternal wound complications after CABG with unilateral and bilateral internal thoracic artery grafts in diabetic patients. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2000;48(Suppl 1):48.
- **30.** Herrera HR, Ginsburg ME. The pectoralis major myocutaneous flap and omental transposition for closure of infected median sternotomy wounds. Plast Reconstr Surg 1982;70:465-70.
- **31.** Pairolero PC, Arnold PG, Harris JB. Long-term results of pectoralis major muscle transposition for infected ternotomy wounds. Ann Surg 1991;213:583:1991.
- Ringelman PR, Vander Kolk CA, Cameron D, Baumgartner WA, Manson PN. Long-term results of flap reconstruction in median sternotomy wound infections. Plast Reconstr Surg 1994;93:1208.
- Iacobucci JJ, Stevenson TR, Hall JD, Deeb GM. Sternal osteomyelitis: Treatment with rectus abdominis muscle. Br J Plast Surg 1989;42:452.
- Fernando B, Muszynski C, Mustoe T. Closure of a sternal deficit with the rectus abdominis muscle after sacrifice of both internal mammary arteries. Ann Plast Surg 1988;21:468.
- Hultman CS, Culbertson JH, Jones GE. Thoracic reconstruction with the omentum: Indications, complications, and results. Ann Plast Surg 2001;46:242.
- Chou EK, Tai YT, Chen HC, Chen KT. Simple and Reliable way in Sternal Wound Coverage- Tripedicle Pectoralis Major Myocutaneous Flap. Microsurgery 2008;28:441-6.
- Jeevanandam V, Smith CR, Rose EA, Malm JR, Hugo NE. Single-stage management of sternal wound infections. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 1990;99:256-62.
- Jones G, Jukierwicz MJ, Bostwick J. Management of the infected median sternotomy wound with muscle flaps. The Emory 20-year experience. Ann Surg 1997;225:776.
- Cabbabe EB, Cabbabe SW. Immediate verses Delayed One-Stage Sternal Debridement and Pectoralis Muscle Flap Reconstruction of Deep Sternal Wounds Infections. Plast Reconstr Surg 2009;123:1490-4.
- 40. Wong CH, Senewiratne S, Garlick B, Mullany D. Two-stage management of esternal wound infection using bilateral

pectoralis major advancement flap. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2006;30:148-52.

- Ascherman JA, Patel SM, Malhotra SM, Smith CR. Management of sternal wound with bilateral pectoralis major myocutaneous advancement flaps in 114 consecutively treated patients: Refinements in technique and outcomes analysis. Plast Reconstr Surg 2004;114:676-83.
- Catarino PA, Chamberlain MH, Wright NC, Black E, Campbell K, Robson D. High-pressure suction drainage via a polyurethane foam in the management poststernotomy mediastinitis. Ann Thorac Surg 2000;70:1891-5.
- 43. Schimmer C, Sommer SP, Bensch M, Leyh R. Primarytreatment of deep sternal wound infection after cardiac surgery: A survey of

German heart surgery centers. Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg 2007;6:708-11.

 Abu-Omar Y, Naik MJ, Catarino PA, Ratnatunga C. Right ventricular rupture during use of high-pressure suction drainage in the management of poststernotomy mediastinitis. Ann Thorac Surg 2003;76:974.

How to cite this article: Sahasrabudhe P, Jagtap R, Waykole P, Panse N, Bhargava P, Patwardhan S. Our experience with pectoralis major flap for management of sternal dehiscence: A review of 25 cases. Indian J Plast Surg 2011;44:405-13.

Source of Support: Nil, Conflict of Interest: None declared.



Dispatch and return notification by E-mail

The journal now sends email notification to its members on dispatch of a print issue. The notification is sent to those members who have provided their email address to the association/journal office. The email alerts you about an outdated address and return of issue due to incomplete/incorrect address.

If you wish to receive such email notification, please send your email along with the membership number and full mailing address to the editorial office by email.